

Columbia University: Arts & Sciences

A&S Fall 2018 Standard Evaluation

Course: POLSUN3220_001_2018_3-LOGICOFCOLLECTIVECHOICE: Logic of Collective Choice-
POLSUN3220_001_2018_3 - LOGIC OF COLLECTIVE CHOICE

Instructor: Jeffrey Lax


TA: Simone Paci *, Jordan Perkins, Joonseok Yang

Response Rate: 33/61 (54.10 %)

1 - What are the strengths and weaknesses of Simone Paci (discussion section leader, lab section leader, grader) as an instructor, and how might Simone Paci's teaching be improved?

Response Rate	12/61 (19.67%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N.A. • The main problem with the TAs is that they did not always agree with each other, which is a problem for a quantitative-based class. • All of the TAs are very approachable and timely when returning assignments. They are available for questions and give clear feedback. • The TA's disagree with each other frequently, including when they are teaching the actual course. It makes their knowledge and help very unreliable. • All three TA's for the class, while well meaning, often confused students in office hours by not being on the same page as Professor Lax. • Great, chill guy, maybe could be more definitive in his knowledge of the information. • A great help in further understanding the course content. Office hours were necessary at points in the semester • does he understand what's happening??? idk • approachable, nice, available for all office hours and on time. Understood the topics well and would work with you however much you needed to make sure you too understood. • All the TAs were helpful and graded fairly. • Simone led one class when Professor Lax was absent. Sadly, I got the impression that he's nearly as confused as the students. • Very available for students and clear explanation. 	

2 - What is the overall teaching effectiveness of Simone Paci?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Excellent	(5)	5	29.41%	<div></div>				
Very Good	(4)	4	23.53%	<div></div>				
Good	(3)	2	11.76%	<div></div>				
Fair	(2)	4	23.53%	<div></div>				
Poor	(1)	2	11.76%	<div></div>				
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
17/61 (27.87%)				3.35	1.46	4.00		